

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/036,321	Applicant(s) STEVENS ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura E. Edwards	Art Unit 1734	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Laura E. Edwards.

(3) _____.

 (2) Keith Tackett.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004
Time: Afternoon
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
all in general
Prior art documents discussed:
All cited non-patent literature
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Requested that Applicants rightfax the Examiner copies of the non-patent literature references that were missing from the time of the mailing of the first office action. Consideration of the non-patent literature was required before placement of the application in condition for allowance. Applicants were courteous to supply for the third time, copies of the non-patent literature .